

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERREMARKS

OCT 02 2006

Upon entry of the instant amendment, claims 1-5, 9 and 11-14 are pending. Claims 6-8 and 10 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 11, 13 and 14 have been amended to more particularly point out the applicant's invention. It is respectfully submitted that upon entry of the amendment and consideration of the remarks below, the application is in condition for allowance.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3, 6, 9-12, 14, and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Berberet, et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2005/0086703 A1 ("the Berberet, et al. reference"). Claims 6 and 10 have been cancelled. Thus the rejection of these claims 6 and 10 is obviated. With respect to Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 12, 14 and 15, in order for there to be anticipation of these claims , each and every one of the elements of the claim must be found in a single reference. It is respectfully submitted that the claims recite subject matter not disclosed or suggested by the Berberet, et al. reference. In particular, the claims, as amended, recite a video interaction platform that is responsive to actions by a pointing device which can determine the frame and the location within the frame where the action by the pointing device occurred. The system recited in the claims also determines whether the frame and location selected by the pointing device corresponds to a pixel object by examination of the linked video files and if so linking the location to a resource other than said video content. The Berberet, et al. reference relates to a system for providing broadcast programming to remote subscribers. In other words, the Berberet, et al. reference relates to a cable TV provider. It does not disclose or suggest a system as recited in the claims. Thus, there can be no anticipation. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Berberet, et al. reference in view of Gerba, U.S. Patent No. 5,931,908 ("the Gerba, et al. patent"). These claims, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 all depend on claim 1. The Berberet reference has already been discussed. The Gerba, et al. patent relates to live programming and the ability of an operator at a video head in to add content that is overlaid over the live video

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 02 2006

content. It does not disclose a system, as recited in claim 1, upon which claims 2, 4, 5, 7 and 8 depend. For these reasons and the above reasons, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claim 13 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Berberer, et al. reference in view of Gupta, et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0086703 A1 ("the Gupta, et al. reference"). Claim 13 is a dependent claim which depends upon claim 10, which, in turn, depends upon claim 1. The Berberer, et al. reference was discussed above. The Gupta, et al. reference relates to a multi-media player for playing video content. The Gupta, et al. reference does not otherwise a system as recited in claim 1, upon which Claim 13 depends. Accordingly, for this reason and the reasons submitted above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP

By:


John S. Paniaguras
Registration No. 31,051
Attorney for Applicant(s)

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
East Lobby, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20007-5201
Telephone No.: (312) 902-5200
Facsimile No.: (312) 902-1061